

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,666	09/12/2003	Paul B. Aamodt	P0011617.00	9148
27581	7590 11/15/2007		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE			LEE, CYNTHIA K	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55432-9924		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/661,666	AAMODT, PAUL B.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cynthia Lee	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>					
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 May 2007</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b)  This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 10-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,14 and 15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/6/2007 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Fig. 1 in the reply filed on 9/17/2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). The Examiner notes that claims 4 and 13 are not drawn to fig. 1 and are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

### Response to Amendment

This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed on 9/17/2007. Claims 1-15 are pending. Claims 4 and 10-13 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive. Thus, claims 1-3, 5-9, 14, and 15 are rejected for reasons of record.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/661,666

Art Unit: 1795

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-9, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelm (US 5486215) in view of Spillman (US 5631102).

Kelm discloses a separator subassembly for a coiled electrode-type electrochemical cell comprising an elongated separator layer. Kelm discloses that the anode assembly comprises an alkali metal, preferably lithium metal, and the current collector comprises a corrosion-resistant metal, preferably nickel, copper or an alloy of nickel and copper (4:20-25 and 35-40) (instant claims 8 and 9). Kelm discloses that the separator can be made of microporous polyolefin (i.e. polyethylene or polypropylene) separator material such as Celgard (5:1-5) (applicant's dielectric material). The anode material depletes during cell discharge (see [0015] of the instant Specification).

Kelm disclose that the separator assembly covers the anode assembly and forms a pocket around the anode assembly since it folds over (applicant's longitudinal crease, instant claim 5) at the top edge and conforms to the anode assembly until it reaches the bottom edge where it is joined to itself at a seal. Slits can be cut in the separator to allow the connector tabs to project through the separator (4:60-65) (instant claims 2, 6, 15).

Kelm does not disclose a spacer layer. However, Spillman teaches a separator insert (40 in fig 1) (applicant's spacer layer) in addition to the main separator in an electrochemical cell. A preferred material for the separator insert is a woven or nonwoven fluoropolymer material (applicant's dielectric material). This polymeric material is chemically inert to the components used in alkali metal cells, is corrosion

Application/Control Number: 10/661,666

**Art Unit: 1795** 

resistant and does not decompose at normal battery temperatures. Preferably, the separator insert covers at least each side of the cathode means in a spirally wound electrode stack and extends less than one-half the total length thereof. The separator insert covers the leading edge and at least one side of one of the electrodes in the cell. This provides additional protection against internal short circuit conditions due to tearing or puncture of the traditional separator caused by exposed electrode current collector screens (abstract, 2:30-35, 4:15-40, fig. 1). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the separator insert and cover the current collector as taught by Spillman to Kelm's anode or the cathode for the benefit of preventing short circuit caused by corrosion and puncturing at the current collector.

Spillman does not disclose that the spacer layer is relatively thicker than the separator layer (instant claim 3). However, it is obvious that in general, a thicker material is more robust and more resistant to the external forces. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the separator insert (applicant's spacer layer) thicker than the separator because Spillman teaches that the separator insert is useful to augment the main separator and what is important is that the separator insert provides additional protection against internal short circuit conditions due to tearing or puncture of the traditional or main separator by exposed electrode current collector screens (5:15-25). A thicker separator insert would provide the extra support in the leading current collector region while avoiding unnecessary mechanical enhancement in the main separator.

Spillman's separator insert material is disposed along an edge of the separator (see fig. 1) (instant claim 14).

The combination of Kelm and Spillman would yield one spacer layer. Kelm and Spillman do not disclose that the separator assembly comprises at least two spacer layers (instant claim 7). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add multiple layers for the benefit of extra support and protection against puncture. Further, it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Kelm modified by Spillman does not teach that the spacer layer leaves a leading end of the anode subassembly exposed. However, the anode material and the current collector are enclosed inside the anode subassembly. See Fig. 4 of Kelm. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dispose the separator insert inside the anode assembly as well because whether inside or outside anode subassembly, either configuration would achieve protection against short circuiting. When the spacer layer is disposed inside the anode subassembly, it would necessarily leaves a leading end of the anode subassembly exposed.

Kelm modified by Spillman meets the limitation that "the spacer layer covers a single side of the anode subassembly" because the claim is written in open language.

### Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/661,666 Page 6

Art Unit: 1795

Applicant's arguments filed 5/9/2007 have been fully considered but they are most in view of new grounds of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-8699. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SUSY TSANG-FOSTER =BVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

·ckl